Prognostication of successfulness in arm-wrestling on the base of morphological functional indicators’ analysis
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Abstract
Purpose: to work out methodic of arm wrestling successfulness prognostication by morphological functional indicators and substantiate it.

Material: 189 sportsmen (of age 21.62 ± 0.85 years) were tested. We fulfilled goniometric testing of arms’ joints (n=27) and hand dynamometry (n=50). Characteristics of physiological tremor were studied (n=29). Strength of forearm’s muscles was determined (n=33) as well as the strength of hand fingers’ extensors separately (n=50). Prognostication was realized with the help of sequential procedure by Wald’s methodic, with calculation of prognostic coefficients and their informative potential.

Results: prognostic table, containing functional state indicators of arm-wrestlers. It contained 18 criteria. The criteria illustrate power, goniometric and functional indicators. Informative potential varied within 64.70 – 6.33. The sense of prognosis is assessment of results and determination of appropriate prognostic coefficient. Besides, prognosis implies summing up of these coefficients for achievement of one of prognostic thresholds. The value of these thresholds was determined at level of ± 13, that corresponds to 95% (p<0.05) probability. Achievement of threshold + 13 and more means sportsman’s successfulness higher level. In case of lower threshold achievement successfulness probability is low.

Conclusions: the conducted researches permitted to work out methodic of arm wrestling successfulness prognostication by morphological functional indicators and substantiate it. The offered methodic is based on sequential analysis by Wald and is a simple, informative and objective tool of arm-wrestlers’ condition control.
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Introduction
The problem of sportsmen’s successfulness prognostication is one of central in sports. The study of sportsmanship levels, determination of correlations between them permit to optimize selection of promising sportsmen and prognosticate their competition functioning.

The basis of prognosis includes different indicators, characterizing sportsman’s condition. For example, Balas J. et al. [23] found that arms’ strength and endurance are the most informative indicators for prognostication of mountaineers’ successfulness. Dummer G.M. et al. proved prognostic significance of arms’ strength for successfulness in swimming [25]. Analogous studies were fulfilled in golf [39]. Klimczyk M. et al. studied correlations between efficiency and physical parameters of pole vaulting jumpers [33]. The authors proved possibility of prognosis with the help of determination of correlations between the studied indicators.

Aksutin V.V., Korobeynikov GV. offered to study special workability and psycho-physiological state for prognostication elite boxers’ successfulness [21]. Brezhniev A.M. et al. offered to predict volleyball players’ game fitness on the base of information about their competition functioning. It permitted to receive information about weak and strong sides of training and correct the training process [2]. This approach is based on assessment of volleyball players’ technical efficiency in games. The authors proved purposefulness of Kohonen nets’ application, which shall be adjusted by results of two previous games and predicted estimation of next game.

Latyshev S.V. regarded prognostication in free style wrestling [8]. The author notes that prognostication of wrestler’s successfulness is possible only by comparing his results with appropriate model characteristics at every training stage [8]. Formation of model pictures shall be realized in several directions. It implies modeling of wrestler’s fitness in certain age stage of selection. With it, informative parameters are: health state, fitness indicators, sport result and its dynamic.

Kudriashova T.I. et al. used analysis of correlations between load indicators in shot put. The authors constructed linear regression model of efficiency prognostication [7]. The data of other research permitted to predict results in 600 meters’ run on the base of the following indicators: physical condition and physical fitness of girls; parameters of cardio-vascular and central nervous system’s functional potentials [15]. Pomazan A.A. used results of anthropometric data correlation analysis and indicators of 4-6 years’ age children’s physical abilities [13]. The author found the most informative indicators for determination of promising for sports children [13].

Yavorskaya T.Ye. predicted efficiency in sports by a number of statistic methodic (regression, vector, matrix, dispersion and factorial analysis, theory of multi-dimensional linear regression in Euclid space) [19]. By the data of other work efficiency prognostication in long and super long distances run shall be fulfilled, basing on aerobic and anaerobic metabolism indicators [6].

In other research mathematical models for

The purpose of the present work is to work out methodic of arm wrestling successfulness prognostication by morphological functional indicators and substantiate it.

**Material and methods**

**Participants:** as main material 189 sportsmen (of age 21.62 ± 0.85 years) were tested. We fulfilled goniometric testing of arms’ joints (n=27) and hand dynamometry (n=50). Characteristics of physiological tremor were studied (n=29). Strength of forearm’s muscles was determined (n=33) as well as the strength of hand fingers’ extensors separately (n=50).

**Organization of the research:** the scheme of the research stipulated division of participants into two groups: experimental group (sportsmen from 1st category to master of sports) and control group (sportsmen of mass categories and students, training for recreation) [9-12, 36]. Prognostication was realized with the help of sequential procedure by Wald’s methodic, with calculation of prognostic coefficients and their informative potential [22]. Mean values of morphological functional indicators were taken as bordering values. Then, probability of less or higher indicators’ values in respect to mean values was determined. After that prognostic coefficients and information potential of the studied attributes were calculated.

In compliance with requirements of the methodic attributes shall be located in table in order of their information potential decreasing. Informational potential less than 5.0 was considered insignificant. Indicators with such or less value were not entered in the table. In case of equal informational potential the order of their location was determined randomly.

**Statistical analysis:** analysis of the received data was fulfilled with the help of licensed electronic tables Excel and calculation indicators of descriptive statistic [22]. Prognostic coefficients were calculated by the following formula:

\[
PC = 10 \times \log \frac{p(D_1/S)}{p(D_2/S)}
\]

(1)

Where \( PC \) is prognostic coefficient, \( p(D_1/S) \) – probability of attribute presence, \( p(D_2/S) \) – probability of attribute absence.

Coefficient 10 is introduced for \( PC \) to have the form of a whole number to make prognostic procedure easier.

Informational potential was calculated by the formula of Kulbak:

\[
I = PC - 1/2 \times [p(D_1/S) - p(D_2/S)]
\]

(2)

Where \( I \) -is informational potential of attribute. Other legend is the same as in the previous formula.

**Results**

The worked out prognostic table contains indicators, reflecting sportsmen’s functional state. Considering probabilities of distinctions and informational potential...
of the registered indicators the table contains 18 criteria. These criteria illustrate power, goniometric and functional indicators (see table 1). Coefficients are dimensionless values and it permits to compare them and use for sportsmen’s condition prognostication.

The highest quantity of the used attributes (12) is goniometric ones and reflects amplitude of arm joints’ movements. Still 5 criteria illustrate relative strength of forearm’s and fingers’ muscles. 1 attribute characterizes tremor. Introduction of relative strength indices in prognostication procedure was conditioned by sportsmen’s different weight categories. Power indicators directly depend on body mass. That is why application of absolute indicators for prognostication would be incorrect. Informational potential of the indicators varied within the range from 64.70 to 6.33. For five goniometric indicators it was equal (10.46).

The table permits to prognosticate sportsmen’s successfulness on the base of methodic and tests. The prognosis itself implies: assessment of results; determination of appropriate prognostic coefficient; summing up of these coefficients. Such approach permits to reach one of prognostication thresholds. In compliance with commonly accepted approaches thresholds’ value was taken at level ± 13. It corresponds to probability of 95% (p<0.05). Reaching + 13 (or more) threshold means high successfulness of sportsman. In case of reaching analogous negative threshold successfulness probability would be low and sportsman is not promising. If prognostication procedure resulted in reaching no thresholds, prognosis is considered to be indefinite. In such case additional tests are required for receiving additional information.

The offered methodic is of universal character and can be used at different stages of assessment of sportsman’s functional state. All criteria are well controlled and can change in the process of optimally built training. Thus, the offered methodic can be used for successfulness prognostication at stage of preparation for competitions, for current control and assessment of training loads’ adequacy.

### Discussion

In context of present work solution of prognostication task implies choice of one from two available variants: if sportsman’s fitness level is high or insufficient for success. The procedure of prognostication task’s solution shall consider sportsmen’s functional state and graduate the used methodic depending on their informational significance. Advantages of the used methodic (as per Wald) include: possibility of application with different character of attributes’ distribution in the tested groups; absence of demand in indicators’ calculation (error of mean value and mean square deviation); easiness and feasibility.

Selection of adequate and informative indicators is an important pre-condition of prognostication. Parola F., Musso E. note certain problems’ existence in assessment of sportsmen’s competition functioning [34]. They are: finding effective criteria for physical fitness assessment owing to specific aspects of arm wrestling. Voronkov A.V. et al. say that the most important physical qualities in arm wrestling are: speed-power abilities; maximal power; power endurance. Importance of strength and speed for victory in arm wrestling is underlined also in other work [38]. The received by us results prove it: power indicators are characterized by high informational potential.

### Table 1. Prognostication of arm wrestlers’ successfulness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Prognostic coefficients</th>
<th>Information potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prognostic coefficients</td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>Absence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative strength of right hand’s moving aside more than 18.75%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending of right shoulder joint more than 159°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative strength of right arm’s pronation more than 36%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbending of left elbow joint more than 21°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative strength of right hand middle finger more than 36%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending of left wrist joint more than 66°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving of left shoulder joint more than 25°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending of right wrist joint more than 69°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending of left shoulder joint more than 160°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative strength of left hand moving aside more than 17,5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of right arm’s tremor less than 23 seconds</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right wrist joint’s moving aside more than 42°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right elbow joint bending more than 127°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right elbow joints unbending more than 21°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right shoulder joint moving aside more than 149°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left shoulder joint moving aside more than 148°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left elbow joint bending more than 129°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative strength of left hand middle finger more than 36.25%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The provided data witness about importance of movements’ amplitude in arms’ joints for prognostication in arm wrestling: most of attributes in tables are goniometric. The correctness of this assumption is proved in other research [27]. Analysis of typical for arm wrestling fracture of shoulder bone showed that this trauma is a result of external forces’ impact: bending torque, axial pressing and twisting. Thus, just these movements shall be regarded as the main in this kind of sports and be studied for prognostication.

As it has already been noted successfullness in arm wrestling depends on complex of criteria and indicators. Such approach was realized when creating selection methods for arm wrestling [16]. The methodic implies determination of special power endurance, hand’s strength, arms’ strength and quickness of reaction. It is very close to criteria used by us. However, with similarity of criteria there is a difference in the applied methods. The first quality is assessed by maximal quantity of hands with barbell bending (the weight of barbell is half of the weight of the tested). Hand’s strength is assessed traditionally with hand dynamometry, while arm’s strength – by time of hanging on bent arms. Quickness of reaction is registered in tapping test. Result is received as total sum of points in compliance with specially worked out scales. On the base of these scales sportman’s suitability for arm wrestling is assessed. In our opinion substantial disadvantage of this methodic is absence of consideration of parameters’ informational potential.

Optimality of exactly Wald’s methodic for prognostication can be proved by presence of analogous works. In the process of substantiation and creation of model for arm wrestlers’ functional state monitoring we worked out prognostication scale for prospects assessment in this kind of sports [37]. It included physical condition indices (relation of hand dynamometry to body mass; relation of forearm and shoulder arm lengths), biochemical indicators (relation of diene conjugates to the restored glutathione), bio-physical indicators (specific weight of electrically negative cells of buccal epithelium) and physiological indicators (results of “relay race test”). Application of indices permits to pass to relative indicators, i.e. to standardize prognostication procedure. Determination of the mentioned tests permits to assess sportmen’s prospects in arm wrestling. However, this methodic requires special equipment, chemical agents and tools. It substantially weakens its feasibility and increases the cost of assessment. If to exclude bio-chemical [30-32] and bio-physical tests it will negatively reflect on its informative potential.

Akpınar S. C et al. worked out successfullness prognostication on example of participants of Turkey arm wrestling national championship [20]. The authors used morphological and functional indicators for prognostication. As successfullness predictors they noted arms’ strength, time of hearing reaction, length and circumference of forearm. Such approach and received results also coincide with our data. But these authors did not consider joints’ functional state, strength of separate fingers and forearm muscles. The authors did not use hand’s fine coordination. It substantially weakens prognostication effectiveness.

Thus, analysis of literature data permits to conclude that choice of morphological functional indicators and tools for prognostication was correct.

**Conclusions**

The fulfilled researches permitted to work out scheme of sportmen’s successfullness prognostication with the help of morphological functional indicators and substantiate it. The offered methodic is based on sequential analysis by Wald and is a simple, informative and objective tool for control over sportmen’s condition. For determination of indicators to be used simple and accessible equipment is sufficient (pronometer, dynamometer, weights) that permits to speak about feasibility and financial viability of such prognostication method.
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